

1817-010

Chancery Causes: Charlotte Copeland, widow of Isham Copeland vs James Moody, admr

Isle of Wight County

of Isham Copeland

other surnames: Barrett,

[illegible]

not ~~the~~ settlement to which made between the parties,
or showing of protest, & nature may go. worship that.
The said James Moody, who is may's a friend & the
but may be compelled to go to the court to see and
a full of worship with ^{fourth with} James a decision and
signature of the papers of the said James a friend
~~signature~~ in manner & way as appeared and
the said court do determine, and then of worship
will make such other & further order in the premises
as shall comport with justice and the nature of
the case - &c

a Gene. to write
 by Roger M.
 under a pro
 longed breath

Respected Sir

Bill Smith

Богданъ Кочевъ.

April 10: 18 Bill Amos &
exhibits filed & introduced.

И сии

Revised 1857

Collected some to
the
the
the

The answer of James Moody to the bill of complaint
exposed him ~~as~~ in respect of his wife Holland - Copeland
and as parent of Abraham Copeland and exhibited
in the Grand Court of the Orphans on the part of
Charlotte Copeland - Mrs. H. H. H. and
of Mrs. J. P. Copeland, and James B. Copeland
about. Children of said Abraham Copeland being
by will bound to the next of kin - This should
correct the statement & allegations as set forth by
the P. Copeland from their bill as true, to be put
into a decree in conformity to the prayer of the
same as to such as this Court may deem just &
proper. —

Signed.

James Moody

October 27, 1882
October 28, 1882
de

In obedience to the annexed decree, we have also proceeded
to divide the remaining fourth part, as mentioned in the
said decree - Consisting of Milly \$300 - Lucy \$250 -
Matilda \$200 - and little Sam 2 \$60 - ----- } \$810-00
and to Receive from Lott N^o 2 (in a former division) ----- 55-
\$865-00
each proportion \$216-25

To Charlotte Copeland, widow of P. Sham Copeland and -
we have assigned absolutely, Lott N^o 1 (viz) Lucy \$250-00
and to pay Lott N^o 3 ----- \$16-25
and to pay Lott N^o 4 ----- 17-50 } 33-75
\$216-25

To Joseph T. Copeland, we have assigned Lott N^o 2 -
consisting of a Milly \$300 - ----- } 300-00
and to pay Lott N^o 4 ----- 83-75
\$216-25

To George B. Copeland, we have assigned Lott
N^o 3 - consisting of Matilda \$200 - ----- } 200-00
and to Receive from N^o 1 - ----- 16-25
\$216-25

To James Moody, in right of his wife Holland, we
have assigned Lott N^o 4 - consisting of little Sam \$ - 60-00
and to Receive from Lott N^o 1 - ----- 17-50
and from Lott N^o 2 ----- 83-75
and fifty five dollars due from Lott
N^o 2 - in the other Division ----- } 55-156-25
\$216-25

Given under our hands this 9th day of Nov-
eight hundred and fifteen

Edmund Rain

Wm. Ford

Saml. B. Jordan

Pursuant to a decree of the Worshipful Court of Isle of Wight
to us directed, we the undersigned have this day proceeded to
and divided the slaves in the said decree mentioned,
as followeth - (to wit) -

To Charlotte Copeland widow & relict of Thos Copeland dec'd
we have assigned the following slaves as ^{her} right in dower -
(to wit) - Negro man Will, valued at \$350 - Tyne \$450 -
Betty \$300 - Nancy \$350 - Jessu \$250 - old Lydia nothing
making an aggregate of ----- \$1700

To Joseph D Copeland, we have assigned Lot N^o 1 -
consisting of Sam \$500 - and Judy \$350 - } ----- 850 -
and to receive from Lot N^o 2 - ----- 15 -
each proportion ----- 865 -

To James Moody, in right of his wife Holland, we have
assigned Lot N^o 2 - (viz) - Susan \$450 - Aggy \$400 -
and Minerva \$150 - ----- \$1000 - 00
and to pay, Lot N^o 1 - ----- \$15 -
and to pay - Lot N^o 3 - ----- 65 -
and to pay Lot N^o 4 - ----- 55 - 135 -
\$865 - 00

To George B. Copeland, we have assigned Lot N^o 3 -
consisting of Richard valued at \$500 - & Lovina \$300 - } \$800
and to receive from Lot N^o 2 - ----- 65 -
\$865 -

To Lot N^o 4 - we have assigned; Miller \$300 - Judy \$250 -
Matilda \$200 - and little Sam \$60 - } 810 -
and to receive from Lot N^o 2 - ----- 55 -
\$865 -

Given under hands this 9th day of Nov^r - eighteen hundred
and Sixteen - - - - -

Edmund Peain
Math. Indar
Sam^l. P. Lean

In the Court of the County Court 1st April 1815.

This day the cause came on to be heard on the Bill
in which the parties and arguments of counsel -
The Plaintiff of the parties and a report of the Court,
it is desired, order and agreed, That
the said John George Harrison should have
and retained Helen as one of them. He
shall be entitled to the profits of her services
as Helen's husband and as their husband and
of the following negro slaves, which belonged to the
estate of said Helen to and to her next of kin -
To wit, Lydia, Betty, Agnes, Phillis, Jane, Hannah
Catherine, Mary, Nancy, Thomas, Julia, John, William,
Nelly, & Ann, &c. - and also one third part
of all the ^{personal} estate that belonged to her
said dec'd husband after such discharges & payment
the necessary costs, and expenses attendant on the
prosecution of this suit. And the residue of said estate
shall be divided into four equal portions
and that three fourths thereof equally between
John P. Spelman, and George B. Spelman, and
James Murray in right of his wife & their children
who are the children of said John P. Spelman
first, and the remaining fourth part thereof
shall be equally between the said Charlotte (the widow) - the
said defendant John P. and George B. Spelman, and
James Murray in right of his said wife & their children
- & that the said Promissory Commissions
shall be paid of their proceedings to this Court,
in order to a final decree - and that further
orders and decrees that the Court shall do shall be
made of the 1st day of such date as may be necessary in order
with perfect equality to effectuate the foregoing decree. ^{first}

giving ten days public notice by advertisement in
writing to be posted up on the Court house door and
some other public place in the vicinity of the
said place of sale, with the time and place of said
sale -

Witness my hand and
Seal this 29th day of June
1854.

Received of

Mr. J. H. Smith

the sum of

~~for~~ It is my wish that my Son in Law should be permitted
by the Court to reside on the estate long as he wishes to
do so - & that an agreement should be made - &
a division of the estate among the children be also
made by them.

Charlotte Copeland

W. H. H. 2000
at 1000

10th 1815. Thos R Fling